Object Detection with Self-Supervised Scene Adaptation

Zekun Zhang¹, Minh Hoai^{1,2}

¹Stony Brook University ²VinAl Artificial Intelligence Application and Research





Poster # THU-PM-094



Object Detection with Scene Adaptation



safety camera

catering

Object Detection with Scene Adaptation



Contributions

- An object detection framework that
 - adapts to new scenes requiring no human annotation
 - utilizes stationary background and temporal correlation
- First scene adaptation object detection dataset: *Scenes100*
 - large-scale & diverse
 - long videos for training
 - annotation for evaluation



Object Detection with Scene Adaptation

- Scene adaptation is a special case of domain adaptation
 - Distribution shift causes performance drop
 - Lack of human annotation on target domain
 - Getting more attention in recent years: [RoyChowdhury *et al.* CVPR 2019], [Sohn *et al.* arXiv 2020], [Li *et al.* CVPR 2022], [Xu *et al.* CVPR 2022], [Zhao & Wang CVPR 2022], [Li *et al.* CVPR 2022], …
- Uniqueness
 - Fixed camera gives stationary background
 - Temporal correlation among images
 - Less data variance harms generalization



Input

- Unlabeled video stream with fixed camera
- Multiple trained base object detectors



Step 1: pseudo-labeling

- Base detectors generate bounding boxes
- 2-directional tracking initialized from detections
- Score thresholding and duplication removal



Step 2: location-aware object mixup

- Copy-paste detected objects while retaining positions
- Artifact-free mixed-up images improve generalization



Step 3: dynamic background extraction & object mask fusion

- Moving average of background pixels
- Use image with background subtracted as additional input to fusion faster-RCNN



mid-fusion faster-RCNN



After training process

- Trained fusion faster-RCNN
- Latest background image



mid-fusion faster-RCNN







Scenes100 Object Detection Dataset



Scenes100 Object Detection Dataset

- First video object detection dataset for scene adaptation
- Large scale, diverse, long video, fixed camera
- High quality annotation for reliable performance evaluation

dataset	contain videos	average length	frames	countries	bounding boxes	boxes per video
MSCOCO (Lin et al., arXiv 2014)	No	-	-	-	897K	-
KITTI (Geiger et al., CVPR 2012)	No	-	-	1	80K	-
BDD100K (Yu et al., CVPR 2020)	Yes	40s	120M	1	1.8M	18
CityScapes (Cordts et al., CVPR 2016)	Yes	1.8s	150K	2	65K	13
Scenes100 (Zhang & Hoai, CVPR 2023)	Yes	2h	21.6M	16	84K	840

Qualitative Results

base model detection

adapted model detection



mAP=33.36

Qualitative Results

base model detection

adapted model detection



mAP=27.19

Quantitative Results

- Consistently reduces performance drop
- Significantly outperforms general domain adaptation methods



Ablation Study

- Pseudo-labeling is essential
 - Tracking & model ensemble improve performance
- Location-aware mixup outperforms random mixup
- Object mask fusion improves performance greatly

Summary







Talk to us on Thursday PM

at west building exhibit halls ABC 094 !

