



# Wavelet Diffusion Models are fast and scalable Image Generators



Hao Phung\*



Quan Dao\*

\*Equal contribution

Poster: WED-AM-188



Anh Tran



## WaveDiff overview

Pixel space



Wavelet space





CIFAR-10: Diffusion model that closes the gap speed with GAN methods

## Single-image sampling time

	CIFAR-10	STL-10	CelebA(256)	CelebA(512)	CelebA(1024)	Church
Resolution	32	64	256	512	1024	256
#time steps	4	4	2	2	2	4
Time (s)	0.07	0.12	0.08	0.1	0.12	0.16



Produce images up to  $1024 \times 1024$  in a mere 0.1s, which is the first time for a diffusion model to achieve such almost real-time performance.

#### Diffusion models



Generative reverse denoising process

Pros: superior performance on variety of tasks + flexible conditional inputs

Cons: requires thousand-steps traversal to generate a sample. Super low and computational!

# Prior works

DDIM

Forward

Backward

Forward

Backward

**X**<sub>0</sub>

**X**<sub>0</sub>

**X**<sub>0</sub>

**X**<sub>0</sub>

GAN

#### Distillation (Luhman & Luhman)

Distill



X<sub>T</sub>

GAN

 $T \in \{2, 4\}$ 

for both training and testing phase.

Our method instead utilizes wavelet transformation to improve sampling efficiency of DDGAN while maintaining competitive visual quality.

X<sub>M</sub>

XM

## Wavelet diffusion scheme

4x smaller dimension. More compute-efficient.

**Disentangled representation** of low-and-high frequencies. Simpler to Learn.

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Training objective

Given noisy sample  $y_t$ , latent  $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  and time t, generator outputs clean sample  $y'_0 = G(y_t, z, t)$ 

and then draws the less noisy sample from  $y'_{t-1} \sim q(y_{t-1}|y_t, y'_0)$ 

Original Loss ~

## - (1) Adversarial loss:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{adv}^{D} &= -\log \left( D(y_{t-1}, y_{t}, t) \right) + \log \left( D(y_{t-1}', y_{t}, t) \right), \\ \mathcal{L}_{adv}^{G} &= -\log \left( D(y_{t-1}', y_{t}, t) \right), \end{aligned}$$

(2) Reconstruction loss: impede the loss of frequency information.  $\mathcal{L}_{rec} = \|y'_0 - y_0\|$ 

Our additional loss

Generator loss:  $\mathcal{L}^{G} = \mathcal{L}^{G}_{adv} + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \mathcal{L}_{rec}$ 

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Wavelet Downsample

Inject input signals to feature pyramids.

#### 1 Wavelet downsample layer

2 Freq-aware up and down block

3 Frequency bottleneck block

![](_page_8_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

Utilize wavelet transformations for upsampling and downsampling

1 Wavelet downsample layer

#### 2 Freq-aware up and down block

3 Frequency bottleneck block

![](_page_9_Figure_6.jpeg)

ResBlock<sub>XN</sub> hi-sub

Freq Bottleneck Block

Focus on low-frequency subbands while preserving high-frequency details.

1 Wavelet downsample layer

2 Freq-aware up and down block

#### **3** Frequency bottleneck block

![](_page_10_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Results – CIFAR10

Model	FID↓	Recall↑	NFE↓	Time (s)↓
Ours	4.01	0.55	4	0.08
DDGAN [50]	3.75	0.57	4	0.21 (0.30*)
DDPM [13]	3.21	0.57	1000	80.5
NCSN [42]	25.3	-	1000	107.9
Score SDE (VE) [44]	2.20	0.59	2000	423.2
Score SDE (VP) [44]	2.41	0.59	2000	421.5
DDIM [40]	4.67	0.53	50	4.01
FastDDPM [25]	3.41	0.56	50	4.01
Recovery EBM [8]	9.58	-	180	-
DDPM Distillation [30]	9.36	0.51	1	-
StyleGAN2 w/o ADA [21]	8.32	0.41	1	0.04
StyleGAN2 w/ ADA [19]	2.92	0.49	1	0.04
StyleGAN2 w/ Diffaug [19]	5.79	0.42	1	0.04
Glow [23]	48.9	-	1	-
PixelCNN [33]	65.9	-	1024	-
NVAE [46]	23.5	0.51	1	0.36
VAEBM [49]	12.2	0.53	16	8.79

2.5x faster

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Results – STL10

Model	FID↓	Recall↑	Time (s)↓
Ours + W-Generator	12.93	0.41	0.38
DDGAN [50]	21.79	0.40	0.58
StyleGAN2 w/o [19]	11.70	0.44	-
StyleGAN2 w/ ADA [57]	13.72	0.36	-
StyleGAN2 + DiffAug [57]	12.97	0.39	-

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Results – CelebA HQ

Model	FID↓	Recall↑	Time (s)↓
Ours	6.55	0.35	0.60
Ours + W-Generator	5.94	0.37	0.79
DDGAN [50]	7.64	0.36	1.73
Score SDE [44]	7.23	-	-
NVAE [46]	29.7	-	-
VAEBM [49]	20.4	-	-
PGGAN [18]	8.03	-	-
VQ-GAN [6]	10.2	-	-

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

Model	$\mathrm{FID}{\downarrow}$	$\mathrm{Recall}\uparrow$	Time (s) $\downarrow$		
CelebA-HQ 512					
Ours + W-Generator	6.40	0.35	0.59		
DDGAN [1]	8.43	0.33	1.49		
CelebA-HQ 1024					
Ours + W-Generator	5.98	0.39	0.59		

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

DDGAN

Ours

#### **Results - LSUN Church**

Model	FID↓	Recall↑	Time (s)↓
Ours + W-Generator	5.06	0.40	1.54
DDGAN [50]	5.25	-	3.42
DDPM [13]	7.89	-	-
ImageBART [5]	7.32	-	-
PGGAN [18]	6.42	-	-
StyleGAN [20]	4.21	-	-
StyleGAN2 [19]	3.86	0.36	-

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Ablation on wavelet-embedded generator

Model	FID↓	Time (s)↓
w/o residual	6.25	0.78
w/o up & down	6.23	0.61
w/o bottleneck	6.18	0.78
full model	5.94	0.79

Alation on CelebA-HQ 256. Each setting is trained for 500 epochs.

# Conclusions

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

Present a novel Waveletbased Diffusion scheme for efficient sampling.

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

Integrating wavelet transformations in both pixel and feature space, our method effectively reduces the speed gap with StyleGAN models while delivering competitive benchmarking.

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

Offer faster training convergence than the baseline.

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

Facilitate future studies on real-time and high-fidelity diffusion models.

# Thank you for your attention!

tienhaophung@gmail.com

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)