WED-AM-007 West Building Exhibit Halls ABC 392







Privacy-preserving Adversarial Facial Features

Zhibo Wang^{†,,*}, He Wang[†], Shuaifan Jin[†], Wenwen Zhang[‡], Jiahui Hu[†], Yan Wang[‡] Peng Sun[‡], Wei Yuan[‡], Kaixin Liu[‡], Kui Ren[†]

[†]School of Cyber Science and Technology, Zhejiang University, P. R. China
^²ZJU-Hangzhou Global Scientific and Technological Innovation Center [‡]Alibaba Group, P. R. China
[‡]College of Computer Science and Electronic Engineering, Hunan University, P. R. China
[‡]School of Cyber Science and Engineering, Wuhan University, P. R. China

Quick Preview



□ How to prevent privacy leakage from facial features?

• We propose a novel facial privacy-preserving method (namely AdvFace), which can generate privacy preserving adversarial features against unknown reconstruction attacks while maintaining face recognition accuracy.



Traditional facial recognition models

an adversarial features-based face privacy protection (AdvFace)



 \cap

□ Face recognition system is widely used



Security



Healthcare





Attendance

Finance



Typical Client-Server face recognition system

Stored Keys: Facial image $x \rightarrow$ Facial features E(x)



A straightforward solution to prevent direct privacy leakage

新ジンス学 ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY

□ However, a powerful but realistic attacker can reconstruct the original image from the facial feature ^[1~3]

- Using Neural Networks \emptyset to implement reconstruction attack: $\emptyset : z \to x$, z = E(x)
- Training Objective: Minimizing the reconstruction loss $L_R(Z, X)$



- [1] Alexey Dosovitskiy, et al. Inverting visual representations with convolutional networks.
- [2] Zecheng He, et al. Model Inversion Attacks Against Collaborative Inference.
- [3] Guangcan Mai, et al. Deep models under the gan: information leakage from collaborative deep learning.



Motivation:

- Typical protection methods:
 - Encryption^[1,2] : incur large computation overhead and redeployment costs
 - DP(Differential privacy)^[3,4] : cannot defend against reconstruction attacks
 - Frequency domain^[5,6]: cannot defend against reconstruction attacks and incur redeployment costs
 - Adversarial training^[7] : cannot maintain recognition accuracy and incur redeployment costs

Goal:

- Resist reconstruction attack
- Maintain face recognition accuracy

[1] Craig Gentry, et al. Implementing gentry's fully-homomorphic encryption scheme.
[2] Xiaoyu Kou, et al. Efficient and privacy-preserving distributed face recognition scheme via facenet.
[3] Mahawaga Arachchige Pathum Chamikara , et al. Privacy preserving face recognition utilizing differential privacy.
[4] Yunlong Mao, et al. A privacy-preserving deep learning approach for face recognition with edge computing.
[5] Jiazhen Ji , et al. Privacy-preserving face recognition with learnable privacy budgets in frequency domain.
[6] Yuxi Mi , et al. Duetface: Collaborative privacy-preserving face recognition via channel splitting in the frequency domain.
[7] Ang Li , et al. Deepobfuscator: Obfuscating intermediate representations with privacy-preserving adversarial learning on smartphones.

Key Idea of AdvFace



Design adversarial latent noise to minimize the impact on facial recognition networks and maximum the impact on attack networks



Key Idea of AdvFace

新ジンタ ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY

How to resist reconstruction attack?

Key idea: Disrupt the mapping from facial feature to facial image to defend reconstruction attacks



Observation: Even if the attacker uses a different reconstruction network structure, the mapping relationships learned by the network are similar.

• Build a shadow model *S*()



Simulate the practical attacker to learn the mapping from facial feature to facial image, and use adversarial perturbation to disrupt the mapping.

How to maintain face recognition accuracy?

Key idea: Strictly limit the magnitude of perturbation to and reduce its impact on face recognition

$$z_{t+1} = z_t + \alpha \cdot sign(grad(S, z_t, \tilde{x})), \ z_0 = \tilde{z}, \quad \text{ s.t. } \|z_{t+1} - z_t\| < \varepsilon, \qquad (2$$

Privacy Protection



Pipeline: How to replace facial feature by adversarial feature



Generate shadow images \tilde{x} to replace unobtainable original images *x*, and obtain its feature \tilde{z}

$$z = E(x), \quad \tilde{x} = S(z), \quad \tilde{z} = E(\tilde{x}), \quad (3)$$

• Obtain the reconstructed image and calculate the gradient $grad(S, \tilde{z} + \delta, \tilde{x}) = \nabla_{\delta} \|S(\tilde{z} + \delta) - \tilde{x}\|_{1},$ (4) Generate adversarial features from gradient

$$z_{t+1} = z_t + \alpha \cdot sign(grad(S, z_t, \tilde{x})), \ z_0 = \tilde{z},$$

s.t. $||z_{t+1} - z_t|| < \varepsilon,$ (5)

Replace facial feature by adversarial feature in database



Maintaining face recognition accuracy

Mehods	LFW	CFP-FP	AgeDB-30
Unprotected	98.13%	93.16%	87.50%
Random	97.07%	91.71%	86.83%
DP	97.38%	91.66%	86.40%
DuetFace	98.02%	84.37%	87.10%
Ours(online)	96.73%	91.89%	86.32%
Ours(offline)	$\mathbf{98.05\%}$	91.64%	$\mathbf{87.37\%}$

Defense against malicious identity inference

Dataset	Ours (res)	Random	DP	DuetFace	Unprotected
\mathbf{LFW}	3.37%	94.83%	94.00%	95.07%	97.40%
CFP-FP	17.89%	85.60%	88.20%	74.74%	89.71%
AgeDB-30	24.97%	80.13%	79.97%	87.80%	84.53%



റ

Visualization of resistance to reconstruction attack

Original Unprotected Ours Random DP DuetFace Image: Image:

Transferability to different attack settings



CFP-FP

AgeDB-30

Conclusion



□ We propose a novel facial privacy-preserving method (namely AdvFace).

- AdvFace can generate privacy preserving adversarial features against unknown reconstruction attacks while maintaining face recognition accuracy.
- AdvFace can be easily integrated into deployed face recognition systems as a plugin privacy-enhancing module.

□ We unveil the rationale of the reconstruction attack.

Breaking the mapping from features to facial images has strong transferability in defense against reconstruction attacks, as different attack models learn the same mapping.

Thank You





