



One-Shot Mixed Precision Search

Ivan Koryakovskiy, Alexandra Yakovleva, Valentin Buchnev, Temur Isaev, Gleb Odinokikh

> CVPR 2023 (TUE-PM-365)

Huawei Technologies 18.05.2023

One-Shot Mixed Precision Search

Contribution 1: Using Variational Inference, we theoretically derive the earlier empirically-found state-of-the-art searching methods (EdMIPS, DNAS).



 Propose a generic approach to model hardware constraints by a Boltzmann distribution

Bit width probability model $f_{\theta}(\eta)$

Contribution 2: We propose to augment a supernet with a bit width prediction model that allows searching for Pareto-front models in O(1) time.



After supernet training, we can select all Pareto models by sweeping over the hardware penalty.

Pareto searching time



• For large models (ResNet-18 and MobileNet-v2), the proposed method is 5 times more efficient than existing methods.

Correlation between the child and standalone model performances



- High correlation scores (> 0.93)
- Co-adaptation of weights is avoided

Selected model quality



- Model quality is similar to other methods
- A richer set of bit width combinations is found

Quantization

Quantization is the process of converting floating-point tensors to lower precision integer tensors.

This results in a

- Reduced inference latency
- Reduced power consumption
- Potentially lower accuracy

Options:

- Quantize weights & activations
- Mixed precision quantization: {Int8, Int4, Int2}
- Uniform grid
- Quantization Aware Training (QAT)

Bit Width Searching



- Some modern hardware already supports mixed-precision operations
- Middle layers can be quantized to lower bit widths
- But search space in too large, e.g., $O(M^{2N})$, where M is the number of bit width options, and N is the number of layers.

Bit Width Searching



- Some modern hardware already supports mixed-precision operations
- Middle layers can be quantized to lower bit widths
- But search space in too large, e.g., $O(M^{2N})$, where M is the number of bit width options, and N is the number of layers.

Pareto front



- For practical reasons, it is convenient to find multiple Pareto models
- One-stage algorithms require multiple restarts:
 - EdMIPS [1]
 - DNAS [2]
 - Bayesian Bits [3]
 - HAQ [4]
 - etc

Finding Pareto front



- Two-stage algorithms: first train a Supernet (done once), then search for suitable bit widths:
 - SPOS [5] uses Evolutionary algorithm (very slow)
 - Bit-Mixer [6] and FN³[7] use heuristics (largest eigenvalue of a Hessian or pruning)

Finding Pareto front



- Two-stage algorithms: first train a Supernet (done once), then search for suitable bit widths:
 - SPOS [5] uses Evolutionary algorithm (very slow)
 - Bit-Mixer [6] and FN³[7] use heuristics (largest eigenvalue of a Hessian or pruning)

Can we do better? Yes!

Variational Inference approach (VIMPS)



- w FP weights
- *x* FP activation
- z Stochastic gate
- Q Quantizer
- y Output
- \mathcal{D} Dataset

The task is to find the posterior probability $p_w(z|D)$ We cannot calculate it using Bayes Rule, but we can approximate it using some variational distribution $q_{\pi}(z)$ by minimizing:

$$KL(q_{\pi}(z)||p_{w}(z|\mathcal{D})) = -\mathcal{F}(w,\pi) + \underbrace{\log p_{w}(\mathcal{D})}_{ELBO}$$

ELBO const
w.r.t. q

Variational Inference approach (VIMPS)



Variational Inference approach (VIMPS)



Generalization to EdMIPS and DNAS

$$\mathcal{F}(w,\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\pi}(z)}[\log p_{w}\left(\mathcal{D}|z\right)] + \eta \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{\pi^{w}}[b^{w}]\mathbb{E}_{\pi^{x}}[b^{x}]MACs(k) + H(\pi)$$

- 1. Approximate the variational $q_{\pi}(z)$ using a differentiable Concrete distribution (DNAS): $\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\pi}(z)}[\log p_{w}(\mathcal{D}|z)] = \mathbb{E}_{g \sim \text{Gumbel}(0,1)}[\log p_{w}(\mathcal{D}|z^{g})]$
- 2. Use a Softmax function as a proxy for the gate probabilities (EdMIPS): $\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\pi}(z)}[\log p_{w}(\mathcal{D}|z)] = \log p_{w}(\mathcal{D}|\text{Softmax}(l))$

Other differences:

- 1. Both DNAS and EdMIPS do not use entropy.
- 2. DNAS uses a multiplicative hardware loss.
- 3. EdMIPS uses a crude approximation of the expected conditional distribution.

Bit width probability model $f_{\theta}(\eta)$

How to find Pareto architectures at once?



 η – hardware penalty $\pi_{\theta}(\eta)$ – bit width probability model z – stochastic gate \mathcal{D} – dataset ¹⁷

Bit width probability model $f_{\theta}(\eta)$

How to find Pareto architectures at once?



 η – hardware penalty $\pi_{\theta}(\eta)$ – bit width probability model z – stochastic gate

 \mathcal{D} – dataset

One-shot Mixed Precision Search



 η – hardware penalty $\pi_{\theta}(\eta)$ – bit width probability model z – stochastic gate \mathcal{D} – dataset

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}; w, \theta) = -\mathbb{E}_{\eta \sim p(\eta)} \left[\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\pi(\eta)}(z)} [\log p_w(\mathcal{D}|z)] + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \pi_{k,b}(\eta) \log p_{k,b}(\eta) + \lambda H(\pi(\eta)) \right] \\ + \mu \sum_{i \in \mathcal{B}^w} \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{B}^w \\ j > i}} \left\| w_i - w_j \right\|_2 \\ Kernel similarity \\ loss$$

Intuition behind the bit width probability model



Correlation between the child and standalone model performances



	One-Shot	0.97
ResNet-18	EdMIPS	0.29
	DNAS	0.52

- High correlation scores (> 0.93)
- Co-adaptation of weights is avoided

Conclusion

- 1. We theoretically derived two new searching methods: VIMPS and One-Shot MPS.
- 2. We showed that the bit width probability model allows for a straightforward Pareto-front architecture selection.
- 3. The bit width probability model imposes structure on the selected architectures due to which we can
 - 1. find a richer set of bit width combinations, and
 - 2. improve a Kendall's tau correlation which is useful for predicting the fine-tuned model performance.

For questions, feel free to email me: i.koryakovskiy@gmail.com

References

[1] Zhaowei Cai and Nuno Vasconcelos. Rethinking Differentiable Search for Mixed-Precision Neural Networks. *In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2349–2358, 2020.

[2] Bichen Wu, Yanghan Wang, Peizhao Zhang, Yuandong Tian, Peter Vajda, and Kurt Keutzer. Mixed Precision Quantization of Convnets via Differentiable Neural Architecture Search. *arXiv:1812.00090*, 2018.

[3] Mart Van Baalen, Christos Louizos, Markus Nagel, Rana Ali Amjad, Ying Wang, Tijmen Blankevoort, and Max Welling. Bayesian Bits: Unifying Quantization and Pruning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:5741–5752, 2020.

[4] Kuan Wang, Zhijian Liu, Yujun Lin, Ji Lin, and Song Han. HAQ: Hardware-Aware Automated Quantization with Mixed Precision. *In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8612–8620, 2019.

[5] Zichao Guo, Xiangyu Zhang, Haoyuan Mu, Wen Heng, Zechun Liu, Yichen Wei, and Jian Sun. Single path one-shot neural architecture search with uniform sampling. *In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 544–560, 2020.

[6] Adrian Bulat and Georgios Tzimiropoulos. Bit-Mixer: Mixed-Precision Networks with Runtime Bit-Width Selection. In Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, 2021.

[7] Yufei Cui, Ziquan Liu, Wuguannan Yao, Qiao Li, Antoni B. Chan, Tei-wei Kuo, and Chun Jason Xue. Fully Nested Neural Network for Adaptive Compression and Quantization. *In Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2021.