



Learning to Retain while Acquiring: Combating Distribution-Shift in Adversarial Data-Free Knowledge-Distillation

Gaurav Patel[‡], Konda Reddy Mopuri[‡], and Qiang Qiu[‡] [†]Purdue University [†]Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad

TUE-PM-350

Introduction



Knowledge Distillation

Knowledge distillation (KD) is a popular model compression technique that seeks to transfer valuable information from a cumbersome teacher network to a similar-capacity or a compact student network.



Introduction



Knowledge Distillation

However, one basic assumption that **KD** considers is the availability of a **Transfer Dataset (teacher's training data)**, used to query the **teacher** and the **student**, to conduct **KD**.



Introduction



Data-Free Knowledge Distillation (DFKD)

Nonetheless, in real-world situations, the **transfer set** is not easily available once the teacher model is trained using them. Therefore, works have been explored in conducting **KD** in the absence of the training data.











Learning from current samples produced by the **generator**

Learning from previously generated samples stored in the **memory**

$$\min_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{L}_{Acq}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}}) + \mathcal{L}_{Ret}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}} - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}_{Acq}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}}))$$



Performance Improvements





$$\min_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}} P_{x \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}} \left(\arg\max_{i} p_{\mathcal{S}}^{i}(x) \neq \arg\max_{i} p_{\mathcal{T}}^{i}(x) \right)$$



 $\min_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}} P_{x \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}} \left(\arg\max_{i} p_{\mathcal{S}}^{i}(x) \neq \arg\max_{i} p_{\mathcal{T}}^{i}(x) \right)$



Goal of Data-Free Knowledge Distillation (DFKD)PURDUE

$\min_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x} \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}}} [\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}_{\theta_{\mathcal{T}}}(\hat{x}), \mathcal{S}_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}}(\hat{x}))]$



Knowledge-Acquisition

In the typical **Adversarial DFKD** setup, the student update objective with the generated pseudo samples, i.e., the *Knowledge-Acquisition* task, is formulated as:

$$\min_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{L}_{Acq}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}}) = \min_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}} [\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}_{\theta_{\mathcal{T}}}(\hat{x}), \mathcal{S}_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}}(\hat{x}))]$$
$$\hat{x} = \mathcal{G}(z), z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$$











Knowledge-Retention

Moreover, to alleviate the **distribution drift** during **KD** in the adversarial setting, a **memory buffer** of previously encountered sampes is maintained, and samples are **replayed** to help the student recall the knowledge. Therefore, performing *Knowledge-Retention* as follows:

$$\min_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{L}_{Ret}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}}) = \min_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}_m} [\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}_{\theta_{\mathcal{T}}}(\hat{x}_m), \mathcal{S}_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}}(\hat{x}_m))]$$
$$\hat{x}_m \sim \mathcal{M}$$



Student update objective:

 $\min_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{L}_{Acq}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}}) + \mathcal{L}_{Ret}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}})$

However, the objective above, attempts to simultaneously optimizes *Knowledge-Retention* and *Knowledge-Acquisition*, but does not seek to align the objectives, which leaves them to potentially interfere with one another.



Proposed Method

- The proposed meta-learning inspired approach, seeks to align the two tasks.
- We take cues from Model-Agnostic Meta-learning (MAML).
- Typically, **MAML-like** methods are framed as a **bi-level optimization problem**, where the objective is defined as:

$$\min_{\omega} \mathcal{L}_{outer}(\arg\min_{\omega} \mathcal{L}_{inner}(\omega, \mathcal{D}_{train}), \mathcal{D}_{test})$$



Proposed Method

- Likewise, we pose *Knowledge-Acquisition* and *Knowledge-Retention* as **meta-train** and **meta-test**, respectively.
- We perform a **single gradient descent step** on the *Knowledge-Acquisition* objective, using samples from current distribution, and then optimize the student parameters on the *Knowledge-Retention* objective, using the samples in the memory.
- Hence, the overall student learning objective is defined as follows:

$$\min_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{L}_{Acq}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}}) + \mathcal{L}_{Ret}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}}') = \min_{\theta_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{L}_{Acq}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}}) + \mathcal{L}_{Ret}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}} - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}_{Acq}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}})).$$



Implicit Gradient Matching

Analyzing the objective using Taylor's expansion.

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Ret}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}}')}{\partial \theta_{\mathcal{S}}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Ret}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}} - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}_{Acq}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}}))}{\partial \theta_{\mathcal{S}}} = \nabla \mathcal{L}_{Ret}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}}) - \alpha \nabla \underbrace{\left(\nabla \mathcal{L}_{Ret}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}}) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{L}_{Acq}(\theta_{\mathcal{S}})\right)}_{Gradient \ Alignment} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$$





Overview of the proposed method





Learning evolution improvements





Learning evolution improvements

| | $\mu\uparrow$ | $\sigma^2\downarrow$ | $\mathrm{Acc}_{\mathrm{max}}\uparrow$ |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| MB-DFKD | 66.05 | 207.29 | 76.14 |
| PRE-DFKD | 70.23 | 86.63 | 76.93 |
| Ours (w/ Memory Bank) | 69.87 | 75.67 | 77.11 |
| Ours (w/ Generative Replay) | 71.49 | 60.17 | 77.21 |



Improvements across replay schemes





Thank You!