



## **EcoTTA:** Memory-Efficient Continual Test-time Adaptation via Self-distilled Regularization

#### Junha Song<sup>2</sup> Jungsoo Lee<sup>1</sup> In So Kweon<sup>2</sup> Sungha Choi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Qualcomm AI Research <sup>2</sup>KAIST



Overview (1/2)

#### **Test-time Adaptation**

Fest-time adaptation (TTA) is a cutting-edge AI capability that allows a deployed model to adapt itself to a new environment during the testing phase.



#### Overview (2/2)

#### **ECOTTA** | Memory-Efficient Continual Test-time Adaptation via Self-distilled Regularization

- Our work aims to make TTA practical and applicable in edge devices (e.g., robots or autonomous vehicles).
- We design memory-efficient architecture which minimizes memory usage (i.e., activations) by up to 86% compared to state-of-the-art methods. Moreover, our novel regularization prevents overfitting by leveraging the knowledge acquired during pre-training, which is distilled from the frozen original model.



Introduction (1/5)

## **Robustness of Neural Networks**

- Deep neural networks (DNNs) have good performance on test domain similar to train domain.
- But DNNs often suffer from **poor performance** on **test domain** significantly different from train domain.



Introduction (2/5)

## **Mitigating Domain Shift**

- Several research fields attempt to address this problem,
  - 1) Domain adaptation (DA)
    - DA adapts the test domain using both training data and test data during pretraining stage.
  - 2) Domain generalization (DG)
    - DG learns invariant representation with only training data.



However, both DA and DG can not cope with infinite test domains.

Introduction (3/5)

#### **Test-time Adaptation**

• The **TTA** approach overcomes the domain shift by **directly adapting to test domain**, Instead of enhancing generalization ability during the training time (such as DG).



Introduction (4/5)

#### **Motivation 1**

- TTA is conducted in edge devices (eg, robots or autonomous vehicles) which are likely to be memory-constrained.
- Reducing memory usage is crucial but has been overlooked in previous TTA studies<sup>[1,2,3,4]</sup>.



[1] Tent: Fully test-time adaptation by entropy minimization. ICLR, 2021.

- [2] EATA: Efficient test-time model adaptation without forgetting. ICML, 2022.
- [3] NOTE: Robust continual test-time adaptation via instance-aware BN. NeurIPS, 2022.
- [4] CoTTA: Continual Test-time adaptation. CVPR, 2022.

Results

Introduction (5/5)

#### **Motivation 2**

• Existing TTA <sup>[1,2,3,4]</sup> methods without regularization eventually face **overfitting** in **long-term adaptation** due to the effect of catastrophic forgetting and error accumulation.



- Previous challenges
  - 1) High computation overhead
  - 2) Overfitting in long-term adaptation

[1] Tent: Fully test-time adaptation by entropy minimization. ICLR, 2021.

- [2] TTT++: When does self-supervised test-time training fail or thrive? NeurIPS, 2021.
- [3] SWR&NSP: Improving test-time adaptation via shift-agnostic weight regularization. ECCV, 2022.
- [4] Contrastive test-time adaptation. CVPR, 2022.

- Our goal is to develop applicable and practical TTA approach.
  - 1) Memory-efficient architecture for TTA
  - 2) Self-distilled regularization

#### Prerequisite



- TTA works update model parameters to adapt to the target domain. This process inevitably requires additional memory to store the activations which refer to the intermediate features stored during the forward propagation.
- Note that only learnable layers, not frozen layers, must store the activations.

Approach (2/4)

#### **Overview of Our Approach**



A. <u>TENT</u> updates *multiple* batch norm layers, in which large activations must be stored for gradient calculation.

- B. In <u>CoTTA</u>, an *entire* network is trained with additional strategies for continual adaptation that requires a significant amount of both memory and time.
- C. In contrast, <u>our approach</u> requires a minimum size of activations by updating *only a few* layers. Also, stable long-term adaptation is performed by our proposed *regularization*.

Approach (3/4)

#### **Memory-efficient Architecture**



- Our approach only updates the newly added meta networks on the target domain. The steps are the following:
  - **i.** Before deployment, we take any type of pre-trained model.
  - ii. The encoder of the pre-trained model is divided into K parts. We partition the shallow parts of the encoder more (i.e., densely) compared to the deep parts of it.
  - iii. The meta networks are attached to each part of the original networks and warmed up with train dataset.
  - iv. After deployment, only the meta networks are updated with unsupervised loss while the original networks are frozen.

Approach (4/4)

#### **Self-distilled Regularization**



- We regularize the output  $\tilde{x}_k$  of the meta networks not to deviate from the output  $x_k$  of the frozen original networks.
- The output  $x_k$  of the *frozen* original networks contains the *knowledge of the train domain* consistently.
- We can prevent catastrophic forgetting by maintaining the source domain knowledge and error accumulation by utilizing the class discriminability of the original model.

Approach (4/4)

## **Self-distilled Regularization**



- We regularize the output  $\tilde{x}_k$  of the meta networks not to deviate from the output  $x_k$  of the frozen original networks.
- The output  $x_k$  of the *frozen* original networks contains the *knowledge of the train domain* consistently.
- We can prevent catastrophic forgetting by maintaining the source domain knowledge and error accumulation by utilizing the class discriminability of the original model.

Results (1/2)

## **Image Classification**



#### (c) Comparison of average error rate (%) on ImageNet-C

	ResNet-50 (AugMix)		
Method	Avg. err $\downarrow$	Memory (MB)	
Source	74.36	91	
BN Stats Adapt	57.87	91	
Continual TENT (ICLR21)	56.1	1486	
EATA (ICLM22)	54.9	1486	
CoTTA (CVPR22)	<u>54.6</u>	3132	
Ours (K=4)	55.2	<b>438</b> (86, 72%↓)	
Ours (K=5)	54.4	$\underline{747}~(75,51\%\downarrow)$	

#### (b) Comparison of average error rate (%) on CIFAR100-C



	WideResNet-40 (AugMix)		ResNet-50	
Method	Avg. err 🛓	Mem. (MB)	Avg. err 🛓	Mem. (MB)
Source	69.7	11	73.8	91
BN Stats Adapt	41.1	11	44.5	91
Single do. TENT (ICLR21)	36.7	188	40.1	926
Continual TENT (ICLR21)	38.3	188	45.9	926
TTT++ (NeurIPS21)	41.0	391	44.2	1876
SWR&NSP (ECCV22)	36.6	400	44.1	1970
EATA (ICML21)	37.1	188	39.9	926
CoTTA (CVPR22)	38.1	409	40.2	2064
Ours (K=4)	<u>36.4</u>	80 (80, 58%)	<u>39.5</u>	296 (86,68%)
Ours (K=5)	36.3	92 (77, 51%↓)	39.3	<b>498</b> (76, 46%↓)

Results (2/2)

#### **Semantic Segmentation**





Qualcom KAIST EcoTTA CVPR23 Slide

**EcoTTA** 

#### **Conclusion** | Memory-Efficient Continual Test-time Adaptation via Self-distilled Regularization

- We propose a simple yet effective approach that improves TTA performance and saves a significant amount of memory.
- First, we presented a memory-efficient architecture which minimizes the intermediate activations used for gradient calculations.
- Second, we proposed self-distilled regularization to prevent overfitting during long-term adaptation.
- We verified the memory efficiency and TTA performance of our approach with extensive experiments on diverse datasets and backbone networks.

# Thankyou

#### Qualcom

Follow us on: **in Y O P f** For more information, visit us at: qualcomm.com & qualcomm.com/blog Nothing in these materials is an offer to sell any of the components or devices referenced herein.

©2018-2023 Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. and/or its affiliated companies. All Rights Reserved.

Qualcomm is a trademark or registered trademark of Qualcomm Incorporated. Other products and brand names may be trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. References in this presentation to "Qualcomm" may mean Qualcomm Incorporated, Qualcomm Technologies, Inc., and/or other subsidiaries or business units within the Qualcomm corporate structure, as applicable. Qualcomm Incorporated includes our licensing business, QTL, and the vast majority of our patent portfolio. Qualcomm Technologies, Inc., a subsidiary of Qualcomm Incorporated, operates, along with its subsidiaries, substantially all of our engineering, research and development functions, and substantially all of our products and services businesse, including our QCT semiconductor business.

Snapdragon and Qualcomm branded products are products of Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries. Qualcomm patented technologies are licensed by Qualcomm Incorporated.