WED-PM-122



Bridging Search Region Interaction with Template for RGB-T Tracking

Tianrui Hui^{1,2,4} Zizheng Xun^{3,5} Fengguang Peng^{3,5} Junshi Huang⁴ Xiaoming Wei⁴ Xiaolin Wei⁴ Jiao Dai^{1,2} Jizhong Han^{1,2} Si Liu^{3,5}

¹IIE, CAS ²SCS, UCAS ³IAI, BUAA ⁴Meituan ⁵HII, BUAA











Preview



Bridging Search Region Interaction with Template for RGB-T Tracking

Tianrui Hui^{1,2,4} Zizheng Xun^{3,5} Fengguang Peng^{3,5} Junshi Huang⁴ Xiaoming Wei⁴ Xiaolin Wei⁴ Jiao Dai^{1,2} Jizhong Han^{1,2} Si Liu^{3,5}

¹IIE, CAS ²SCS, UCAS ³IAI, BUAA ⁴Meituan ⁵HII, BUAA

Motivation

- As a multimodal vision task, the key to RGB-T tracking is how to perform effective cross-modal interaction
- Some previous methods concatenate the RGB and TIR search region features directly to perform a coarse interaction process with redundant background noises introduced
- Many other methods conduct fusion on isolated pairs of RGB and TIR boxes, which limits the cross-modal interaction within local regions and brings about inadequate context modeling
- We exploit templates as the medium to bridge the cross-modal interaction between RGB and TIR search regions by gathering and distributing target-relevant object and environment contexts



Framework

- We extend the ViT architecture for joint feature extraction, search-template matching, and cross-modal interaction
- In TBSI module, bidirectional RGB and TIR search region interaction are bridged by the fused template, which serves as a medium to gather and distribute target-relevant contexts
- · Original templates are also updated with the template medium



Template-Bridged Search Region Interaction

• RGB and TIR template fusion

 $\boldsymbol{Z}_m = [\boldsymbol{Z}_r; \boldsymbol{Z}_t] \boldsymbol{W}_m,$

- Bidirectional Template-Bridged Interaction
- Fused template gathers contexts from the TIR search region

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{D}_t &= \operatorname{Softmax}(\frac{(\boldsymbol{Z}_m \boldsymbol{W}_q^1)(\boldsymbol{X}_t \boldsymbol{W}_k^1)^{\mathrm{T}}}{\sqrt{C}})(\boldsymbol{X}_t \boldsymbol{W}_v^1), \\ \boldsymbol{Z}'_m &= \operatorname{LN}(\boldsymbol{Z}_m + \boldsymbol{D}_t), \\ \boldsymbol{\tilde{Z}}_m &= \operatorname{LN}(\boldsymbol{Z}'_m + \operatorname{MLP}(\boldsymbol{Z}'_m)), \\ \boldsymbol{D}_{mt} &= \operatorname{Softmax}(\frac{(\boldsymbol{X}_r \boldsymbol{W}_q^2)(\boldsymbol{\tilde{Z}}_m \boldsymbol{W}_k^2)^{\mathrm{T}}}{\sqrt{C}})(\boldsymbol{\tilde{Z}}_m \boldsymbol{W}_v^2). \end{split}$$

Gathered contexts are distributed to RGB search region

Experiments

Method RGB Baseli

RGB-T Base w/o Template B

w/o RGB→TM

w/o Template U

Full Model (1

• Extensive ablation studies demonstrate the effectiveness of the components of our proposed method

Layers	Precision	NormPrec	Succes	5		APFNet† [39]	CMPP [37]	mfDiMP* [44]	TBSI
4 / 10	63.6	10.1	12.6	-	NO	93.4/66.4	95.6/67.8	96.2/69.4	96.1/72.8
1	55.5	49.1	42.5		PO	85.0/58.7	85.5/60.1	86.6/60.9	88.7/64.7
11	62.7	59.2	49.8		HO	72.9/49.0	73.2/50.3	76.1/53.2	81.5/58.6
1 1 1	63.8	60.2	50.6		LI	82.3/54.4	86.2/58.4	84.2/58.0	89.2/63.6
				_	LR	82.9/54.8	86.5/57.1	82.1/53.0	85.1/60.0
ethod	Precisi	on Norm	Prec	Success	TC	82.1/57.3	83.5/58.3	84.8/58.9	85.8/63.2
Baseline	50.1	45	.4	40.1	DEF	77.1/54.6	75.0/54.1	81.5/60.2	84.1/63.7
Baseline	53.5	49	.1	42.5	FM	78.2/49.2	78.6/50.8	77.3/54.8	81.4/58.7
late Bridging	59.6	55	.9	47.4	SV	82.1/56.5	81.5/57.2	87.1/63.7	89.9/66.8
→TM→TIR	58.7	55	.1	46.6	MB	72.8/53.0	75.4/54.1	80.1/58.0	88.1/64.9
ate Updating	62.7	58	.9	49.7	CM	76.3/54.5	75.6/54.1	84.0/60.3	88.0/65.0
del (TBSI)	63.8	60	.2	50.6	BC	80.6/52.4	83.2/53.8	82.8/53.7	83.4/57.8

 Quantitative and qualitative comparison with state-ofthe-art methods on three RGB-T tracking benchmarks LasHeR

	Method	Backbone	Pretraining	Precision	NormPrec	Success	FPS	_	Method	Precision	Success
Online	DAPNet [49] FANet [50] DAFNet [14] CAT [20] MANet [21] MANet+ [27] MaCNet [43] DMCNet [28] APFNet [39]	VGG-M VGG-M VGG-M VGG-M VGG-M VGG-M VGG-M VGG-M	ImageNet ImageNet ImageNet ImageNet ImageNet ImageNet ImageNet ImageNet	43.1 44.1 44.8 45.0 45.5 46.7 48.2 49.0 50.0	38.3 38.4 39.0 39.5 - 40.4 42.0 43.1 43.9	31.4 30.9 31.1 31.4 32.6 31.4 35.0 35.5 36.2	20.5 2.1 1.6 1.9	Online	MDNet+RGBT [32] MaCNet [43] DAPNet [49] MANet [21] HDINet [30] FANet [50] JMMAC [46] MSL [35] MANet+[27] DAFNet [14] CAT [20] ADRNet [45]	72.2 76.4 76.6 71.7 78.3 78.7 79.0 79.5 79.5 79.5 79.6 80.4 80.7 82.3	49.5 53.2 53.7 53.9 55.9 55.3 57.3 54.2 55.9 54.4 56.1 57.0 57.5
ne	mfDiMP [44] TBSI TDEI	ResNet-50 ViT-Tiny	SOT ImageNet	59.9 61.7 62.4	57.8	46.7 48.9	34.6 40.3		APFNet [39] DMCNet [28] mfDiMP [44]	82.7 83.9 84.2	57.9 59.3 59.1
Offli	TBSI	ViT-Base ViT-Base	ImageNet	63.8 69.2	60.2 65.7	50.6	36.2 36.2	Offline	SiamCDA [47] SiamIVFN [16] TBSI	76.0 81.1 87.1	56.9 63.2 63.7

RGBT210





(a) redcarcominginlight (b) rightdarksingleman (c) umbrella (d) ab_blkskirtigir -GT —TBSI APFNet —MANet++ —CAT —FANet —MaCNet —DAFNet —DMCNet —mfDiMI



Task

• RGB-T tracking aims to leverage the mutual enhancement and complement ability of RGB and TIR modalities for improving the tracking process in various scenarios





Motivation

- As a multimodal vision task, the key to RGB-T tracking is how to perform effective cross-modal interaction
- Some previous methods concatenate the RGB and TIR search region features directly to perform a coarse interaction process with redundant background noises introduced
- Many other methods conduct fusion on isolated pairs of RGB and TIR boxes, which limits the crossmodal interaction within local regions and brings about inadequate context modeling
- We exploit templates as the medium to bridge the cross-modal interaction between RGB and TIR search regions by gathering and distributing targetrelevant object and environment contexts



Framework

- We extend the ViT architecture for joint feature extraction, search-template matching, and cross-modal interaction
- In TBSI module, bidirectional RGB and TIR search region interaction are bridged by the fused template, which serves as a medium to gather and distribute target-relevant contexts
- Original templates are also updated with the template medium



Template-Bridged Search Region Interaction

• RGB and TIR template fusion

 $\boldsymbol{Z}_m = [\boldsymbol{Z}_r; \boldsymbol{Z}_t] \boldsymbol{W}_m,$

- Bidirectional Template-Bridged Interaction
- Fused template gathers contexts from the TIR search region

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{D}_{t} &= \operatorname{Softmax}(\frac{(\boldsymbol{Z}_{m}\boldsymbol{W}_{q}^{1})(\boldsymbol{X}_{t}\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{1})^{\mathrm{T}}}{\sqrt{C}})(\boldsymbol{X}_{t}\boldsymbol{W}_{v}^{1}), \\ \boldsymbol{Z}_{m}^{\prime} &= \operatorname{LN}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{m}^{\prime} + \boldsymbol{D}_{t}), \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{m}^{\prime} &= \operatorname{LN}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{m}^{\prime} + \operatorname{MLP}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{m}^{\prime})), \\ \boldsymbol{D}_{mt}^{\prime} &= \operatorname{Softmax}(\frac{(\boldsymbol{X}_{r}\boldsymbol{W}_{q}^{2})(\tilde{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{m}\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{2})^{\mathrm{T}}}{\sqrt{C}})(\tilde{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{m}\boldsymbol{W}_{v}^{2}). \\ \boldsymbol{X}_{r}^{\prime} &= \operatorname{LN}(\boldsymbol{X}_{r}^{\prime} + \boldsymbol{D}_{mt}), \\ \boldsymbol{X}_{mtr}^{\prime} &= \operatorname{LN}(\boldsymbol{X}_{r}^{\prime} + \operatorname{MLP}(\boldsymbol{X}_{r}^{\prime})). \end{split}$$

- Gathered contexts are distributed to RGB search region
- Original RGB and TIR templates are also updated by the enriched contexts from fused template



	Method	Backbone	Pretraining	Precision	NormPrec	Success	FPS
	DAPNet [49]	VGG-M	ImageNet	43.1	38.3	31.4	-
	FANet [50]	VGG-M	ImageNet	44.1	38.4	30.9	-
	DAFNet [14]	VGG-M	ImageNet	44.8	39.0	31.1	20.5
c)	CAT [20]	VGG-M	ImageNet	45.0	39.5	31.4	-
line	MANet [21]	VGG-M	ImageNet	45.5	-	32.6	2.1
On	MANet++ [27]	VGG-M	ImageNet	46.7	40.4	31.4	-
	MaCNet [43]	VGG-M	ImageNet	48.2	42.0	35.0	1.6
	DMCNet [28]	VGG-M	ImageNet	49.0	43.1	35.5	-
	APFNet [39]	VGG-M	ImageNet	50.0	43.9	36.2	1.9
	mfDiMP [44]	ResNet-50	SOT	59.9	-	46.7	34.6
e	TBSI	ViT-Tiny	ImageNet	61.7	57.8	48.9	40.3
Offline	TBSI	ViT-Small	ImageNet	62.4	58.6	49.4	39.1
	TBSI	ViT-Base	ImageNet	63.8	60.2	50.6	36.2
	TBSI	ViT-Base	SOT	69.2	65.7	55.6	36.2

	Method	Precision	Success
	MDNet+RGBT [32]	72.2	49.5
	MaCNet [43]	76.4	53.2
	DAPNet [49]	76.6	53.7
	MANet [21]	77.7	53.9
	HDINet [30]	78.3	55.9
	FANet [50]	78.7	55.3
دە	JMMAC [46]	79.0	57.3
ļi	M5L [35]	79.5	54.2
On	MANet++ [27]	79.5	55.9
•	DAFNet [14]	79.6	54.4
	CAT [20]	80.4	56.1
	ADRNet [45]	80.7	57.0
	CMPP [37]	82.3	57.5
	APFNet [39]	82.7	57.9
	DMCNet [28]	83.9	59.3
	mfDiMP [44]	84.2	59.1
ne	SiamCDA [47]	76.0	56.9
ffli	SiamIVFN [16]	81.1	63.2
0	TBSI	87.1	63.7

Table 2. Comparison with state-of-the-art methods on RGBT234 dataset. Our method outperforms both online and offline ones.

		Method	Precision	Success		
		TFNet [51]	77.7	52.9		
	line	CAT [20]	79.2	53.3		
	On	DMCNet [28]	79.7	55.5		
	Offline	mfDiMP* [44]	84.9	59.3		
		DSiamMFT [48]	64.2	43.2		
		TBSI	85.3	62.5		
Table 3. Comparison with state-of-the-art methods on RGL						

Table 1. Comparison with state-of-the-art methods on LasHeR testing set. "SOT" denotes pretraining on the joint splits of COCO, LaSOT, GOT-10k, and TrackingNet, which is a common practice for training SOT methods. We also adopt this setting for a fair comparison. We only report the inference speeds of previous methods whose codes are available.

Table 3. Comparison with state-of-the-art methods on RGL 216 dataset. * means results are reproduced by us.

Method	Precision	NormPrec	Success
RGB Baseline	50.1	45.4	40.1
RGB-T Baseline	53.5	49.1	42.5
w/o Template Bridging	59.6	55.9	47.4
w/o RGB \rightarrow TM \rightarrow TIR	58.7	55.1	46.6
w/o Template Updating	62.7	58.9	49.7
Full Model (TBSI)	63.8	60.2	50.6

Table 4. Ablation studies of our proposed TBSI module. "TM" denotes the template medium for bridging interaction.

Layers			Dragision	NormBroo	Success
4	7	10	Frecision	Normprec	Success
			53.5	49.1	42.5
\checkmark			60.5	56.9	47.8
\checkmark	\checkmark		62.7	59.2	49.8
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	63.8	60.2	50.6

Table 5. Inserting layers of the proposed TBSI module.

	APFNet [†] [39]	CMPP [37]	mfDiMP* [44]	TBSI
NO	93.4/66.4	95.6/67.8	96.2 /69.4	96.1/ 72.8
PO	85.0/58.7	85.5/60.1	86.6/60.9	88.7/64.7
HO	72.9/49.0	73.2/50.3	76.1/53.2	81.5/58.6
LI	82.3/54.4	86.2/58.4	84.2/58.0	89.2/63.6
LR	82.9/54.8	86.5 /57.1	82.1/53.0	85.1/ 60.0
TC	82.1/57.3	83.5/58.3	84.8/58.9	85.8/63.2
DEF	77.1/54.6	75.0/54.1	81.5/60.2	84.1/63.7
FM	78.2/49.2	78.6/50.8	77.3/54.8	81.4/58.7
SV	82.1/56.5	81.5/57.2	87.1/63.7	89.9/66.8
MB	72.8/53.0	75.4/54.1	80.1/58.0	88.1/64.9
CM	76.3/54.5	75.6/54.1	84.0/60.3	88.0/65.0
BC	80.6/52.4	83.2/53.8	82.8/53.7	83.4/57.8

Table 6. Attribute-based Precision/Success scores on RGBT234 dataset. † denotes that the values are obtained by evaluating the authors' released raw tracking results. * means results are reproduced by us since raw results are unavailable.



Figure 4. Qualitative comparison between our method and other RGB-T trackers on four representative sequences from LasHeR dataset.



Figure 5. Visualization of attention maps between template medium tokens and search region tokens in our TBSI module. (a) RGB search region. (b) RGB attention map. (c) TIR search region. (d) TIR attention map.





Thank You!

Code will be released at https://github.com/RyanHTR/TBSI









