



Align and Attend: Multimodal Summarization with Dual Contrastive Losses

Bo He¹, Jun Wang¹, Jielin Qiu², Trung Bui³, Abhinav Shrivastava¹, Zhaowen Wang²

¹ University of Maryland, College Park ² Carnegie Mellon University ³ Adobe Research

Poster: WED-PM-240

Website and Code: https://boheumd.github.io/A2Summ

Task Definition



Multimodal Summarization with Multimodal Output

Prior Work

- × Multimodal Output
- The additional modality acts as auxiliary input information.



Clip-It! [Narasimhan et al., NeurIPS'21]

- × Alignment across Multimodal
- Time correspondence between different modalities is ignored.



Motivation: Align multimodal input and exploit intrinsic cross-modality relationship.

Model Architecture



Alignment-guided Self-attention

- **Goal:** Exploit *time correspondences* between video and text sequences.
- Motivation: Untrimmed videos and text sentences contain irrelevant backgrounds.
- Solution: Video frames and text sentences from the *same time window* can attend to each other.



Attention Mask in Self-attention Layer

Inter-Sample Contrastive Loss

- Goal: Utilize *intrinsic* relationships between input multimodal data pair.
- Motivation: Paired video and text sample shares mutual information.
- Solution: Maximize the cosine similarity
 of the video and text embedding from B
 real pairs in the batch while minimizing
 the similarity from B² B incorrect pairs.



Intra-Sample Contrastive Loss

- **Goal:** Model *fine-grained* cross-modality information.
- Motivation: Human-annotated key-frames and key-sentences reveal

the same semantic meanings. (e.g., cooking recipe video)



Contrastive Pair Selection

Intra-Sample Contrastive Loss

- **Goal:** Model *fine-grained* cross-modality information.
- Motivation: Human-annotated key-frames and key-sentences reveal

the same semantic meanings. (e.g., cooking recipe video)



Ablation Studies

Inputs	Align.	Inter.	Intra.	F1	au	ρ	
Video-Only				49.8	0.070	0.084	
				50.5	0.083	0.096	\rightarrow
Multimodal	\checkmark			51.5	0.089	0.104	
	\checkmark	\checkmark		52.5	0.905	0.110	+5.2 F1 score
	\checkmark		\checkmark	54.0	0.102	0.121	
	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	55.0	0.108	0.129	→

Contribution of each component

- Align: Alignment-guided self-attention
- Inter: Inter-sample Contrastive Loss
- Intra: Intra-sample Contrastive Loss

Category	Method	CNN			Daily Mail			
		R-1	R-2	R-L	R-1	R-2	R-L	Cos(%)
Video	VSUMM [30]	_	_	_	_	_	_	68.74
	DR-DSN [11]	_	_	_	_	_	_	68.69
	CLIP-It [2]	_	_	_	_	_	_	69.25
Text	Lead3 [18]	_	_	_	41.07	17.87	30.90	_
	SummaRuNNer [20]	_	_	_	41.12	17.92	30.94	_
	NN-SE [19]	_	_	_	41.22	18.15	31.22	_
	MM-ATG [1]	26.83	8.11	18.34	35.38	14.79	25.41	69.17
Multimodal	Img+Trans [5]	27.04	8.29	18.54	39.28	16.64	28.53	_
	TFN [82]	27.68	8.69	18.71	39.37	16.38	28.09	_
	HNNattTI [4]	27.61	8.74	18.64	39.58	16.71	29.04	68.76
	M ² SM [6]	27.81	8.87	18.73	41.73	18.59	31.68	69.22
Ours	Video-only	_	_	_	_	_	_	69.30
	Text-only	29.39	10.85	26.11	42.77	19.19	34.60	_
	A2Summ	30.82	11.40	27.40	44.11	20.31	35.92	70.20

CNN and Daily Mail Datasets

Comparison with SOTA

Method		SumMe	e	TVSum			
Method	F1	τ	ρ	F1	au	ρ	
Random [76]	41.0	0.000	0.000	57.0	0.000	0.000	
Human [76]	54.0	0.205	0.213	54.0	0.177	0.204	
DR-DSN [11]	42.1	_	_	58.1	0.020	0.026	
HSA-RNN [45]	42.5	0.064	0.066	44.1	0.082	0.088	
CSNet [33]	48.6	_	_	58.5	0.025	0.034	
VASNet [84]	49.7	_	_	61.4	_	_	
DSNet-AB [14]	50.2	0.051	0.059	62.1	0.108	0.129	
DSNet-AF [14]	51.2	0.037	0.046	61.9	0.113	0.138	
RSGN [16]	45.0	0.083	0.085	60.1	0.083	0.090	
CLIP-It [2]	51.6	_	_	64.2	0.108	0.147	
iPTNet [17]	<u>54.5</u>	<u>0.101</u>	<u>0.119</u>	63.4	<u>0.134</u>	<u>0.163</u>	
A2Summ	55.0	0.108	0.129	<u>63.4</u>	0.137	0.165	

SumMe and TVSum Datasets

Visualization



Description: "A boy woke up late, took the metro and then ran to school."

A2Summ generates summaries which cover important segments with more accurate temporal boundaries.

BLiSS Dataset

• A large-scale multimodal summarization dataset focused on the livestream

videos and transcripts.

	SumMe	TVSum	CNN	Daily Mail	StreamHover	BLiSS
Number of Data	25	50	203	1970	5421	13303
Total Video Duration (Hours)	1.0	3.5	7.1	44.2	452	1109
Total Number of Text Tokens	_	_	0.2M	1.3M	3.1M	5.5M
Avg. Video Summary Length	44	70	_	2.9	_	10.1
Avg. Text Summary Length	_	_	29.7	59.6	79	49

Statistics Comparison

Thank You!

For more details, please visit **Poster# 240** at **21-Jun-23, 4:30pm-6:30pm**

Website and Code:

https://boheumd.github.io/A2Summ



