



DSVT: Dynamic Sparse Voxel Transformer with Rotated Sets

Haiyang Wang1*Chen Shi1*Shaoshuai Shi2*Meng Lei1Seng Wang3Di He1Bernt Schiele2Liwei Wang1*

¹Peking University ²Max Planck Institute for Informatics ³Huawei







Overview









Emerging 3D Applications





Self-Driving Cars



Robot



Augmented Reality

Existing RibFrac CT Scans New Annotations Rib Segmentation





Medical Image

Data Format of 3D

- PointCloud
 - A suitable data format to 3D Scene Understanding
 - Close to original sensor and is directly after the lidar scan
 - Point Cloud is simple, just a point set
- Characteristic
 - Sparse
 - Irregular
 - Unorder







Point Cloud Processor



 Point cloud has sparse and irregular data format, which can not be processed with existing convolutional neural network

V.S



Camera Sensor



Lidar Sensor





- Point cloud has sparse and irregular data format, which can not be processed with existing convolutional neural network.
- Point Cloud Processor:
 - PointNet, PointNet++





• Sparse Convolution (Conventional and SubManifold)









- The intensive computation of sampling and grouping.
- The limited representation capacity due to submanifold dilation.
- Can not be implemented with well-optimized deep learning tools (*TensorFlow* or *PyTorch*) and require writing customized CUDA codes, which needs to be heavily optimized before deployment.



Point-based Local Feature Extractor (PointNet++)

Sparse Convolution

Transformer on sparse point clouds?



- Transformer is naturally suitable to sparse data.
- How to apply a standard Transformer is nontrivial.
 - Global Attention: can not be applied to process the large-scale point clouds (~60000 voxels).
 - Window Attention: due to the sparsity of point clouds, the number of non-empty voxels in each local window varies significantly, which can not be computed in a fully parallel manner.

Different windows have different number of points, which can not be calculated in a fully parallel manner



Main Contributions



- We propose *Dynamic Sparse Window Attention*, a window-based attention strategy for handling sparse 3D voxels in parallel.
- Based on the above key design, we introduce an efficient yet deployment-friendly transformer 3D backbone without any customized CUDA operations. It can be easily accelerated by NVIDIA TensorRT to achieve real-time inference speed (27Hz).
- Our approach outperforms previous state-of-the-art methods on the large-scale Waymo Open Dataset with a remarkable gain.



Note that a lidar typically operates at 10 Hz to 20 Hz.

Dynamic Sparse Window Attention

- Dynamic Set Partition
 - Combine Local Region and Voxel Number.
 - Reformulate sparse window attention as parallel computing self-attention within a series of local sets.
 - Window Bounded: Compute attention in local region
 - Non-overlapped: the local sets are non-overlapped
 - Size-Equivalent: each subset is guaranteed to have the same number of voxels
 - Dynamic: The set number dynamically varies with the sparsity of the window.





Dynamic Sparse Window Attention

- Rotated set attention for intra-window feature propagation.
 - Computing self-attention inside the invariant partition lacks connections across the subsets.
 - Dynamic set partition is highly dependent on the inner-window voxel ID
 - Control the covered local region of each set by voxel ID reordering with different sorting strategies.







Dynamic Sparse Window Attention

- Rotated set attention for intra-window feature propagation.
 - Rotated-set attention approach that alternates between X-Axis and Y-Axis partitioning configurations in consecutive attention layers.
 - One DSVT Block:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}^{l}, \mathcal{O}^{l} &= \text{INDEX}(\mathcal{V}^{l-1}, \{\mathcal{Q}_{j}\}_{j=0}^{S-1}, \mathcal{D}_{x}), \\ \mathcal{V}^{l} &= \text{MHSA}(\mathcal{F}^{l}, \text{PE}(\mathcal{O}^{l})), \\ \mathcal{F}^{l+1}, \mathcal{O}^{l+1} &= \text{INDEX}(\mathcal{V}^{l}, \{\mathcal{Q}_{j}\}_{j=0}^{S-1}, \mathcal{D}_{y}), \\ \mathcal{V}^{l+1} &= \text{MHSA}(\mathcal{F}^{l+1}, \text{PE}(\mathcal{O}^{l+1})), \end{split}$$







Experiments



• State-of-the-Art Performance on Waymo Open Dataset

	Present at	Stages	mAP/mAPH mAP/mAPH		Vehicle 3D AP/APH		Pedestrian 3D AP/APH		Cyclist 3D AP/APH	
Methods			L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2
SECOND [51]	Sensors'18	One	67.2/63.1	61.0/57.2	72.3/71.7	63.9/63.3	68.7/58.2	60.7/51.3	60.6/59.3	58.3/57.0
PointPillars [‡] [22]	CVPR'19	One	69.0/63.5	62.8/57.8	72.1/71.5	63.6/63.1	70.6/56.7	62.8/50.3	64.4/62.3	61.9/59.9
CenterPoint-Voxel [†] [53]	CVPR'21	One	74.4/71.7	68.2/65.8	74.2/73.6	66.2/65.7	76.6/70.5	68.8/63.2	72.3/71.1	69.7/68.5
SST‡ [12]	CVPR'22	One	74.5/71.0	67.8/64.6	74.2/73.8	65.5/65.1	78.7/69.6	70.0/61.7	70.7/69.6	68.0/66.9
VoxSet [18]	CVPR'22	One	75.4/72.2	69.1/66.2	74.5/74.0	66.0/65.6	80.0/72.4	72.5/65.4	71.6/70.3	69.0/67.7
AFDetV2 [19]	AAAI'22	One	77.2/74.8	71.0/68.8	77.6/77.1	69.7/69.2	80.2/74.6	72.2/67.0	73.7/72.7	71.0/70.1
SWFormer [41]	ECCV'22	One	-/-	-/-	77.8/77.3	69.2/68.8	80.9/72.7	72.5/64.9	-/-	-/-
PillarNet-34 [34]	ECCV'22	One	77.3/74.6	71.0/68.5	79.1/78.6	70.9/70.5	80.6/74.0	72.3/66.2	72.3/71.2	69.7/68.7
CenterFormer [56]	ECCV'22	One	75.3/72.9	71.1/68.9	75.0/74.4	69.9/69.4	78.6/73.0	73.6/68.3	72.3/71.3	69.8/68.8
Ours (Pillar)	-	One	79.5/77.1	73.2/71.0	79.3/78.8	70.9/70.5	82.8/77.0	75.2/69.8	76.4/75.4	73.6/72.7
Ours (Voxel)	-	One	80.3/78.2	74.0/72.1	79.7/79.3	71.4/71.0	83.7/78.9	76.1/71.5	77.5/76.5	74.6/73.7
PV-RCNN† [35]	CVPR'20	Two	76.2/73.6	69.6/67.2	78.0/77.5	69.4/69.0	79.2/73.0	70.4/64.7	71.5/70.3	69.0/67.8
Part-A2-Net [38]	TPAMI'20	Two	73.6/70.3	66.9/63.8	77.1/76.5	68.5/68.0	75.2/66.9	66.2/58.6	68.6/67.4	66.1/64.9
CenterPoint-Voxel [53]	CVPR'21	Two	-/-	-/-	76.7/76.2	68.8/68.3	79.0/72.9	71.0/65.3	-/-	-/-
PV-RCNN++(center) [36]	IJCV'22	Two	78.1/75.9	71.7/69.5	79.3/78.8	70.6/70.2	81.3/76.3	73.2/68.0	73.7/72.7	71.2/70.2
FSD [13]	NeurIPS'22	Two	79.6/77.4	72.9/70.8	79.2/78.8	70.5/70.1	82.6/77.3	73.9/69.1	77.1/76.0	74.4/73.3
Ours (Pillar-TS)	-	Two	80.6/78.2	74.3/72.1	80.2/79.7	72.0/71.6	83.7/78.0	76.1/70.7	77.8/76.8	74.9/73.9
Ours (Voxel-TS)	-	Two	81.1/78.9	74.8/72.8	80.4/79.9	72.2/71.8	84.2/79.3	76.5/71.8	78.6/77.6	75.7/74.7

Methods	Present at	ent at val NDS mAP		test NDS mAP		
PointPillars [22]	CVPR'19	-	-	45.3	30.5	
CBGS [57]	ArXiv'19	62.3	50.6	63.3	52.8	
CenterPoint-Voxel [53]	CVPR'21	66.8	59.6	67.3	60.3	
Transfusion-L [1]	CVPR'22	69.3	64.7	70.2	65.5	
PillarNet-34 [34]	ECCV'22	-	-	71.4	66.0	
Ours (Pillar)	-	71.1	66.4	72.7	68.4	

Encoder	DA	PC	WW	SL	CP	DI	mIoU
2D Conv [25]	72.0	43.1	53.1	29.7	27.7	37.5	43.8
3D SpConv [25]	75.6	48.4	57.5	36.5	31.7	41.9	48.6
Ours (Pillar)	79.7	51.8	61.1	38.2	33.8	45.3	51.6
Ours (Pillar) [†]	87.6	67.2	72.7	59.7	62.7	58.2	68.0



Conclusion



- We propose DSVT, a deployment-friendly yet powerful transformer-only 3D backbone for 3D object detection, which can be accelerated by NVIDIA TensorRT with real-time running speed (27Hz).
- We hope that our DVST can not only be a reliable point cloud processor for 3D object detection in real-world applications but also provide a potential solution for efficiently handling large-scale sparse data in other tasks.

