THU-AM-108



Spectral Absorption Aware Hyperspectral Transformer for Methane Detection

Satish Kumar, Ivan Arevalo, A S M Iftekhar, B S Manjunath



lune

Summary: Methane gas detection from Airborne Hyperspectral Imagery



Main Contributions:

- We introduce a novel single-stage end-to-end approach for methane plume detection using a hyperspectral transformer
- Largest public hyperspectral dataset → Methane HotSpot (MHS) dataset
 - Flightlines data from 6 different states over a time period of 8 years



Outline	
٩	Introduction
0	Existing works and limitations
5	Data collection pipeline and MHS Dataset Specifications
	METHANEMAPPER







Motivation

- Greenhouse gas emissions are the invisible menace causing global warming
- Methane and Carbon Dioxide goes undetected because of invisibility
- Government is struggling to curb on these emissions
- US govt. set to pass \$369 billions towards climate change



6





1/3rd of Gas Comes from Dairy Farms and Livestocks

1/3rd of Gas Comes from Oil and Gas Industry



Chief Contributors of Methane

16% of Gas comes from Landfill sites



Example of invisibility in visible spectrum Methane emission at the site

Ehe New York Eimes

Outline		
٢	Introduction	
0	Existing works and limitations	
5 .	Data collection pipeline and MHS Dataset Specifications	
e	METHANEMAPPER	



Methane gas detection from Airborne Hyperspectral Imagery



~ 300 Km

Conventional Detection Methods

- Iterative Maximum a Posterior Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (IMAP-DOAS) algorithm
 - Uses Lambert-Beer law to model the absorption of solar radiation in the medium it is passing through
 - Highly dependent on pressure and temperature of the atmosphere
- Matched Filter
 - Uses background statistics to normalize the spectral signals and match with the methane spectral signature at every spatial location (pixel-wise)

Highly prone to false positives due to confusers on the ground such as hydrocarbon paints, roads, etc

Conventional Detection Methods



Needs Manual correction by an expert

Deep Learning based approach

- MethaNet An AI-driven approach to quantifying methane point-source emission from high-resolution 2-D plume imagery [6]
 - A shallow neural network with 4 layers for methane quantification

MethaNet only works with a corrected and clean methane enhancement output from matched filter

Very limited datasets available with ground truth

Out	line
؟	Introduction
00	Existing works and limitations
5 .	Data collection pipeline and MHS Dataset Specifications
	METHANEMAPPER



Methane HotSpot (MHS) Dataset



Collected concentration patches from a non-profit entity



Mapped all patches to AVIRIS-NG flightlines



Created point source and diffused source plume sites



The concentration patches verified by experts visiting the physical location



Storage Tank (Slight Vegetation)

(Wet and Dense Vegetation)

Dataset Statistics

Detect	MHS	JPL-CH4
Dataset	Dataset	detection-V1.0
<i># plume sites</i>	3961	161
# flightlines	1185	46
<i># point source</i>	3675	114
# diffused source	286	57
Time pariod	2015 - 2022	2015
Time period	(8 years)	(1 year)
Segmentation Mask	Yes	Yes
Bonding box	Yes	No
Concentration map	Yes	No
Number of Regions	6	1

Outline		
?	Introduction	
00	Existing works and limitations	
>	Data collection pipeline and MHS Dataset Specifications	
	METHANEMAPPER	



MethaneMapper

A spectral absorption aware hyperspectral transformer architecture for methane plume detection in hyperspectral imagery



A transformer-based methane detection architecture with Spectral Linear Filter



Takes in all 432 bands hyperspectral image



Processes the 432 bands hyperspectral image to generate methane candidate maps



Our Query refiner block takes the methane candidate maps and refine the random queries



The refined queries narrow down the search space of the transformer decoder to locate the methane plumes and help to remove the false positives

SLF filters' out the background noise based on the spectral absorption properties of reflected solar radiations by methane gas

Absorption of solar reflected radiation by methane is modeled as additive perturbation: $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{t}$

where \mathbf{r}_i is the i^{th} pixel in the hyperspectral image representing ground terrain, and \mathbf{t} is the methane absorption pattern



The methane absorption pattern "t" is shown below



Since our signature of interest is very weak in the x_i , we do a dot product with vector α . This vector α is called "matched filter" :

$$\alpha = \frac{\mathbf{Cov}^{-1}\mathbf{t}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{Cov}^{-1}\mathbf{t}}}$$

where **Cov**⁻¹ is the inverse of covariance of the background when no methane is present. The methane enhancement per pixel is computed as:

$$\hat{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu)^T \mathbf{Cov}^{-1} \mathbf{t}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{Cov}^{-1} \mathbf{t}}},$$

where $\hat{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is the per pixel estimation of methane



The **Cov**⁻¹ in previous step is computed with an underlying assumption that the ground terrain does not change much, BUT it is not the case,



We did a simple land cover classification of the ground terrain and then compute \mathbf{Cov}_k^{-1} for each class k. $\mathbf{SLF}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu_k)^T \mathbf{Cov}_k^{-1} t}{\sqrt{(i)} \in \text{class } k}$

$$\mathbf{SLF}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu_k)^T \mathbf{Cov}_k^{-1} t}{\sqrt{t^T \mathbf{Cov}_k^{-1} t}} \ \forall \ (i) \in \text{class } k$$



Traditional Matched Filter

Spectral Linear Filter

Ground Mask

MethaneMapper: Quantitative Performance

Method MHS (Ours) data)	mAP	mIOU
SpectralFormer [9]	0.33	0.41
UPSnet (stuff) [10]	0.32	0.38
UPSnet (things+stuff)[10]	0.29	0.35
U-net [11]	0.35	0.46
DETR-R18[12]	0.37	0.56
DETR-R50[12]	0.44	0.59
MM-R18 + Matched Filter	0.45	0.60
MM-R18 + Spectral Linear Filter	0.52	0.63
MM-R50 + Spectral Linear Filter	0.59	0.68

[8] Kumar, Satish, "MethaneMapper: Spectral Absorption aware Hyperspectral Transformer for Methane Detection", IEEE/CVF (CVPR 2023)

[7] Kumar, Satish, "Deep remote sensing methods for methane detection in overhead hyperspectral imagery." IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision. 2020 (WACV 2020).

[9] "SpectralFormer: Rethinking hyperspectral image classification with transformers." IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 60 (2021):

[10] "Upsnet: A unified panoptic segmentation network." Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2019.

[11] U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation." Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention-MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich

[12] Carion, Nicolas, et al. "End-to-end object detection with transformers." Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020

MethaneMapper: Quantitative Performance

Method MHS (Ours) data)	mAP	mIOU
SpectralFormer [9]	0.33	0.41
UPSnet (stuff) [10]	0.32	0.38
UPSnet (things+stuff)[10]	0.29	0.35
U-net [11]	0.35	0.46
DETR-R18[12]	0.37	0.56
DETR-R50[12]	0.44	0.59
MM-R18 + Matched Filter	0.45	0.60
MM-R18 + Spectral Linear Filter	0.52	0.63
MM-R50 + Spectral Linear Filter	0.59	0.68

[8] Kumar, Satish, "MethaneMapper: Spectral Absorption aware Hyperspectral Transformer for Methane Detection", IEEE/CVF (CVPR 2023)

[7] Kumar, Satish, "Deep remote sensing methods for methane detection in overhead hyperspectral imagery." IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision. 2020 (WACV 2020).

[9] "SpectralFormer: Rethinking hyperspectral image classification with transformers." IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 60 (2021):

[10] "Upsnet: A unified panoptic segmentation network." Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2019.

[11] U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation." Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich

[12] Carion, Nicolas, et al. "End-to-end object detection with transformers." Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020

MethaneMapper: Quantitative Performance

Method MHS (Ours) data)	mAP	mIOU
SpectralFormer [9]	0.33	0.41
UPSnet (stuff) [10]	0.32	0.38
UPSnet (things+stuff)[10]	0.29	0.35
U-net [11]	0.35	0.46
DETR-R18[12]	0.37	0.56
DETR-R50[12]	0.44	0.59
MM-R18 + Matched Filter	0.45	0.60
MM-R18 + Spectral Linear Filter	0.52	0.63
MM-R50 + Spectral Linear Filter	0.59	0.68

[8] Kumar, Satish, "MethaneMapper: Spectral Absorption aware Hyperspectral Transformer for Methane Detection", IEEE/CVF (CVPR 2023)

[7] Kumar, Satish, "Deep remote sensing methods for methane detection in overhead hyperspectral imagery." IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision. 2020 (WACV 2020).

[9] "SpectralFormer: Rethinking hyperspectral image classification with transformers." IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 60 (2021):

[10] "Upsnet: A unified panoptic segmentation network." Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2019.

[11] U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation." Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention-MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich

[12] Carion, Nicolas, et al. "End-to-end object detection with transformers." Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020





Outline	
ç	Introduction
0	Existing works and limitations
5 .	Data collection pipeline and MHS Dataset Specifications
	METHANEMAPPER

Conclusion

MethaneMapper: Conclusion

We provide an end-to-end approach with **high quality methane plume detection** and provide the computer vision community with **largest hyperspectral dataset** to promote research in this field

METHANE MAPPER

Spectral Absorption Aware Hyperspectral Transformer for Methane Detection

By: Satish Kumar

Dataset and Source code:

Thank you for Listening